

~
~
'
.,.,.,2''

$$\sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 2^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 2^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 2^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
[illegible]
$$\sim \frac{1}{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_2} + \frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1} \right)$$
$$\sim \frac{1}{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_2} + \frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1} \right)$$
$$\sim \frac{1}{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_2} + \frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1} \right)$$
[illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible]

2,

ROBIN LANDRY - 04/18/07

BE IT REMEMBERED that on Wednesday, April
1 18th, 2007, at the hour of 10:03 a.m., of said day at
2 the offices of OSBORNE, OHLSON & HALL, 555 South
3 Center Street, Reno, Nevada, before me, KATE MURRAY, a
4 notary public, personally appeared ROBIN LANDRY, who
5 was by me first duly sworn, and was examined as a
6 witness in said cause.
7

8 ---000--

9 MR. OHLSON: Before we commence, Counsel,
10 which of the two of you is going to be participating
11 in terms of objections?

12 MS. NICHOLS: Both.

13 MR. QUINN: Why don't we do this? First
14 of all, could we start out by saying that all
15 objections pertaining to the admissibility of
16 evidence can be preserved?

17 MR. OHLSON: No.

18 MR. QUINN: Okay.

19 MR. OHLSON: I'll stipulate that we're
20 taking this pursuant to the Nevada Rules of Civil
21 Procedure.

22 MR. QUINN: That's fine.

23 MR. OHLSON: Why don't we have
24
25

SUNSHINE REPORTING SERVICES

ROBIN LANDRY - 04/18/07

1 investigation.

2 Q. All right. When you say you had no
3 choice, that was because Mr. Robb had taken a leave
4 of absence?

5 A. I no longer had a manager up there, so I
6 was basically doing my job and his job.

7 Q. So basically, what did you do when you
8 got there?

9 A. We went out to the facility and
10 investigated.

11 Q. Would you agree with me that it was you
12 who made the decision to take the
13 children into custody?

14 A. No, sir. I would not.

15 Q. Who made that decision?

16 A. We made it as a team.

17 Q. Weren't you in charge?

18 A. I led the team, yes~ sir.

19 Q. Are you telling me now that the decision
20 to take the children into custody was not ultimately
21 yours?

22 A. Well, the buck stops with me, but the
23 decision was made as a

team.

24 Q. Okay. I guess I'm talking about the

25 buck-stopping.

ROBIN LANDRY - 04/18/07

(

1 2 3 4

A. The buck stops with me, yes, Sir.

Q. You were responsible; were you not?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Will you tell me what the basis of that

5 decision was?

6 7 8

9 Q. I

understand that

the girls were

placed

10 In the facility at Caliente, right?

A. Our investigation and interview with all
the prior information we had gathered about the CPS
situation and our interviews with staff and kids.

11
A.
Yes, sir.

12 Q. And the boys were placed in Nevada Youth
13 Training Center In Elko; is that right?

14
A.
Yes, sir.

15 Q. In both those facilities, the children
16 that go there are children who have been
17 adjudicated; isn't that right?

18 A. I don't know.

19 20 21 22

Q. A. Q. A.

You don't know?

No, Sir.

Who picked those two facilities?

I'm not sure. I'm not sure who picked
23 those facilities.
24

Q.
25 things?

R

Isn't this another one of those buck-stop

Page 84

SUNSHINE REPORTING SERVICES